

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,964	03/11/2004	Gunter Willy Steinbach	10031355-1	6281
7590 10/19/2005			EXAMINER	
AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.			LUU, AN T	
Legal Departme	nt, DL429			
Intellectual Property Administration			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 7599			2816	
Loveland, CO 80537-0599			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/797,964	STEINBACH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	An T. Luu	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Au</u>	iquet 2005					
	action is non-final.	•				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration:						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,8-11,14,16-19 and 21</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>3-7,12,13,15,20</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		,				
		·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 8-11, 14, 16-19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the DeVito reference (US Patent 6,466,096).

DeVito discloses in figure 1 a phase locked loop comprising a step size controller (22, 24 and 36) configured to provide a first VCO control signal (coarse tune) to the VCO 26 upon establishing frequency lock, said first VCO control signal causing the VCO frequency to change by a first step size (col. 4, lines 41-47); and provide a second VCO control signal (fine tune) to the VCO some time after the VCO frequency has changed in response to the first VCO control signal (col. 4, lines 48-50), said second VCXO control signal causing the VCO frequency to change by a second step size, wherein the first step size is larger than the second step size (i.e., coarse tune defines a specified frequency range and fine tune adjusts a frequency to be identical to the frequency of the input data) as required by claim 11.

As to claim 14, the output of phase detector 20 is seen as a control signal to indicate frequency lock and to provide the second VCO control signal.

As to claims 1 and 2, they are rejected for reciting method derived from an apparatus of claim 11. It is noted that the first step size (coarse tuning) is larger than the second step size (fine tuning). Therefore, the first step size provides a faster VCO pull in rate than the second step size.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,964

Art Unit: 2816

As to claim 8, it is rejected for reciting a method derived from an apparatus of claim 14 which is rejected as noted above.

As to claim 9, loop filter 22 in figure 1 delays the control signal (i.e., output of 20) that indicates frequency lock.

As to claim 10, col. 3, lines 62-64 imply that the first and second step sizes are programmable.

As to claim 16, DeVito discloses in figure 1 a system for controlling a VCO 26 in a PLL circuit, the system comprising timing control logic (36, 38) configured to control the timing of changes in VCO frequency step size in response to a control signal (output of 32) that indicates frequency lock; and step size logic (20,22,24) in signal communication with the timing control logic configured to change the VCO frequency step size from a first step size (coarse) to a second step size (fine) in response to a timing control signal (output of 38) from the timing control logic, wherein the first step size is larger than the second step size (i.e., coarse tune defines a specified frequency range and fine tune adjusts a frequency to be identical to the frequency of the input data) as required by claim 16.

As to claim 17, col. 5, lines 50-52, discloses element 38 being a filter which is qualified to be considered a delay logic for generating the timing control signal by delaying the control signal that indicates frequency lock.

As to claim 18, loop filter 22 is seen as a DAC converter for converting digital step size signals (output of phase detector 20) into an analog step size signal.

As to claim 19, figure 1 also shows the step size logic (20,22,24) being configured to output a step size signal to the VCO, which sets the VCO frequency step size.

As to claim 21, col. 3, lines 62-64 imply that the first and second step sizes are programmable.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 8-31-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the rejection of claim 11 under 35 USC 102, Applicant has argued that *DeVito* does not disclose first and second VCO control signals that are provided "upon establishing frequency lock" and concluded that both the first and second VCO control signals are provided to the VCO only after frequency lock has been established. Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's assertion since DeVito discloses in col. 4, lines 40-55, that coarse tune (i.e., first VCO control) sets VCO operate at a desired frequency range and fine tune (i.e., fine tune) sets VCO outputting a frequency that is identical a frequency of the input data. Further, DeVito discloses in col. 6, lines 46-50, that the VCO already has been initialized at a pre-specified voltage. In other words, "establishing frequency lock" has been established. It is noted that the limitation "upon establishing frequency lock" is broadly interpreted as locking to a target frequency range since the recitation of claim does not call for a particular target to be seen as "lock". Therefore, both coarse and fine tunes are provided to the VCO after frequency lock (i.e., target range resulted from a pre-specified voltage) has been established.

Regarding claim 14, Applicant has argued it is not anticipated by DeVito since the output of the phase detector of DeVito is not a control signal to indicate frequency lock. Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's assertion since the output of the phase detector indicates

Application/Control Number: 10/797,964 Page 5

Art Unit: 2816

a different error of its inputs in term of voltage. In other word, it is understood a zero voltage output would indicate no different in phases of its input signals (i.e., locked frequency). In addition, the locked indicated control signal, as shown, (i.e., zero voltage outputted at 20) is provided to the VCO as the second control signal.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 3-7, 12-13, 15 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to disclose an apparatus and method thereof comprising elements being configured as recited in claims. Specifically, none of the prior art teaches or fairly suggests, among other things, the limitation "limiting the time during which the VCO frequency is changed by the first step size" as required by claims 3 and 12; "changing the VCO frequency by the second step size through at least one intermediate step size intermediate between said first and second step sizes" as required by claims 4 and 13; "timing control logic configured to control the timing of transitioning from the first VCO control signal to the second VCO control signal in response to a control signal that indicates frequency lock; and step size logic in signal communication with the timing control logic configured to transition from the first VCO control signal to the second VCO control signal in response to a timing control signal from the timing control logic" as required by claim 15; and "the step size logic includes an up/down counter and a comparator, the comparator being configured to compare a counter value from the counter

Art Unit: 2816

with a programming input and to output a signal that causes the up/down counter to increment or decrement in response to the comparison" as required by claim 20.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to An T. Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-1746. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,964 Page 7

Art Unit: 2816

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

An T. Luu 10-6-05AW

> TMOTHY P. CALLAHAN SUPPRISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800